REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This Amendment and Response is submitted in reply to the non-final Office Action mailed February 2, 2007. Claims 1-5 and 8-10 were rejected and claims 6 and 7 were objected to. New claims 11-34 have been added. Reexamination is requested.

The term "sachet" arose during translation from the original French parent application. Applicant use the term "sachet" to refer to a closed container made of paper or plastic, containing a small amount of something, usually enough for only one occasion. This usage is consistent with the Cambridge Advanced Learner's Dictionary 2007 located at http://dictionary.cambridge.org/.

Pages 4 and 5 of the Office Action indicate that the cited references do not teach or disclose a pressure in the envelope not less than the pressure in the sachet. For the sake of clarity "not less than" has been replaced with "greater than or equal to".

Independent claims 1, 11, 24 and 28 recite a second pressure in the envelope that is greater than or equal to a first pressure in the sachet.

All claims in this application should now be in condition for allowance. A prompt notice to that effect is respectfully solicited. If there are any remaining questions, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

FAEGRE & BENSON LLP

Karl G. Schwappack Reg. No. 35,786

612/766-7773

Customer No.: 25764

Dated: May 11, 2007

fb.us.1987179.01